

Aspects of the p-adic local Langlands programme

Aspect IV : Modulo p

For the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, there is a nice mod. p local Langlands correspondence (which I am going to recall). This is closely related to the weight recipe in Serre's conjecture. Recently, Serre's conjecture has been generalized by Buzzard, Diamond, Jarvis and proved (?) by Gee. To turn this into a (semi-simple) mod. p correspondence, the first step is to construct new representations on the GL_2 -side. One new representation was found (using global techniques) recently by Buzzard, Diamond and Emerton. I would like to explain here how one can use techniques introduced by V. Parkhomenko to construct locally new representations on the GL_2 -side (work in progress with V. Parkhomenko).

The $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -case

$$\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \text{upper Borel}$$

For simplicity, I use the notations $G := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $K := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathbb{Z} := \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$.

Let me start with the classification of smooth irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ that admit a central character (a result essentially due to Barthel and Linné, with one case due to J.):

- the 1-dim¹ representations (the characters)
- the principal series $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{B}}^G X_1 \otimes X_2$ where $X_i : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$ with $X_1 \neq X_2$
- the special series Steinberg $\otimes \chi$ where $\mathrm{St} (= \mathrm{Steinberg}) = \frac{\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{B}}^G 1}{1}$
- the supersingular representations $\frac{\mathrm{c-ind}_{K^2}^G \mathrm{Sym}^r \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^2}{(\mathbb{T})} \otimes \chi$ where $0 \leq r \leq p-1$.

I have to say a word for the last case: $\text{Sym}^r \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2$ is a representation of K_2 via $z \mapsto 1$ and $k \mapsto \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, $c\text{-ind}_{K_2}^G \text{Sym}^r \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2$ means functions $f: G \rightarrow \text{Sym}^r \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2$ with compact support modulo Z s.t. $f(hzg) = h f(g)$.

We have $\text{End}_G(c\text{-ind}_{K_2}^G \text{Sym}^r \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2) = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p[T]$ where T can be described as

follows: \exists a unique function $\varphi: G \rightarrow \text{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}(\text{Sym}^r \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2)$ with support in

$K_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p \end{pmatrix} K_2$ s.t. $\varphi(h_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p \end{pmatrix} h_2) = h_1 \circ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \circ h_2$, then one has:

$$T([g, v]) = \sum_{g' K_2 \in G/K_2} [gg', \varphi(g^{-1})(v)]$$

where $[g, v] \in c\text{-ind}_{K_2}^G \text{Sym}^r$ is defined by: $\begin{cases} [g, v](g') = gg \cdot v & \text{if } g'g \in K_2 \\ [g, v](g') = 0 & \text{if } g'g \notin K_2. \end{cases}$

Moreover, among the supercuspidal, one has the intertwining:

$$\frac{c\text{-ind}_{K_2}^G \text{Sym}^r \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2}{T} \simeq \frac{c\text{-ind}_{K_2}^G \text{Sym}^r \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2}{T} \otimes \text{unr}(-1)$$

$$\frac{c\text{-ind}_{K_2}^G \text{Sym}^r \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2}{T} \simeq \frac{c\text{-ind} \text{Sym}^{r-1, r} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2}{T} \otimes (\omega \circ \det)$$

Now, the semi-simple mod-p local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ can be stated as follows:

$$\left(\text{Ind}_B^G \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2^\omega \right)^S \oplus \left(\text{Ind}_B^G \chi_2 \otimes \omega^\dagger \chi_1 \right)^S \leftrightarrow \bar{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

ω_2 = fund. char.
of level 2

$$\frac{c\text{-ind}_{K_2}^G \text{Sym}^r \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2}{(T)} \leftrightarrow \bar{\rho}|_{\text{Inert.}} = \begin{pmatrix} w_2^{r+1} & 0 \\ 0 & w_2^{r(r+1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

contr. char. $\simeq w^{r+1}$

Rk: Emerton has given a non semi-simple refinement of this correspondence.

Relation with Serre's conjecture (the weight part):

$$0 \leq r \leq p-1$$

$$2 \text{ "companions" weights: } \text{Sym}^r, \text{Sym}^{[p-3-r]} \otimes w^r \det \leftrightarrow \bar{\rho}|_I \simeq \begin{pmatrix} w^{r+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$2 \text{ "intertwined" weights: } \text{Sym}^r, \text{Sym}^{p-r} \otimes w^r \det \leftrightarrow \bar{\rho}|_I \simeq \begin{pmatrix} w_2^{r+1} & 0 \\ 0 & w_2^{r(r+1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{rg: } \frac{c\text{-ind Sym}^r}{T-1} \simeq \text{Ind}_B^G w_{\text{ur}}(\lambda) \otimes w^r w_{\text{ur}}(\lambda') ; \frac{c\text{-ind Sym}^{[p-3-r]}}{T-1} \otimes w^r \det \simeq \text{Ind}_B^G w_{\text{ur}}(\lambda') \otimes w_{\text{ur}}(\lambda)$$

The $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -case: Let me recall the list of Diamond weights in that case. I fix an embedding $\mathbb{F}_p^\times \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$ which, by LCFT, amounts to fixing $w_2: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$.

$$(r_0, r_1), (r_0+1, p-2-r_1) \otimes w_2^{p-1+p(r_0)}, (p-2-r_0, r_1+1) \otimes w_2^{r_0+1+p(r_1+1)}, (p-3-r_0, p-3-r_1) \otimes w_2^{r_0+1+p(r_1+1)} \leftrightarrow \bar{\rho}|_I \simeq \begin{pmatrix} w_2^{r_0+1+p(r_0+1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(r_0, r_1), (r_0-1, p-2-r_1) \otimes w_2^{r_0(r_0+1)}, (p-1-r_0, p-3-r_1) \otimes w_2^{r_0+p(r_1+1)}, (p-2-r_0, r_1+1) \otimes w_2^{r_0+1-p} \leftrightarrow \bar{\rho}|_I \simeq \begin{pmatrix} w_2^{r_0+1+p(r_1+1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{conj.} \end{pmatrix}$$

where (A_0, A_1) stands for $\text{Sym}^{A_0} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^2 \otimes (\text{Sym}^{A_1} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^2)^{\text{frust}}$.
 $\overset{\circ}{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)}$
(via chosen embedding)

We would like to build out of this a "semi-simple" mod-p correspondence, or at least to associate to $\bar{\rho}$ a semi-simple $\pi(\bar{\rho})$. In the sequel, I focus on the irreducible case. If the situation was truly the same as for $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we would

$$\text{have intertwinings } \frac{c\text{-ind}(r_0, r_1)}{(T)} \simeq \frac{c\text{-ind}(r_0-1, p-2-r_1)}{T} \otimes (w_2^{\rho(r_1+1)})^{\text{odet}} \simeq \frac{c\text{-ind} \text{ other weights}}{T}$$

and these representations would be irreducible. However, neither is true.

Instead, one has:

Thm (Parkumar): (i) One has embeddings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c\text{-ind}_{k_2}^G(p_0-1, p-2-A) \otimes w_2^{A+1} \\ \oplus \\ c\text{-ind}_{k_2}^G(p-2-A_0, p_1-1) \otimes w_2^{A_0+1} \end{array} \hookrightarrow \frac{c\text{-ind}(p_0, A)}{(T)}$$

(forgetting the JH factors with "negative" weights)

(ii) Denote by $\mathcal{Q}(p_0, p_1)$ the cokernel of the above embedding, then $\mathcal{Q}(p_0, p_1) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(p-1-A_0, p-1-p_1) \otimes w_2^{A_0+p_1}$.

Using the above theorem together with results of T. Gee, the following theorem was proven during the Palo Alto conference:

Thm (Butzard, Diamond, Emerton).

The 4 above representations admit ^{Gee, ... at least} one common irreducible admissible quotient.

This quotient is built on the cohomology of a Shimura curve. The main step is as follows: take the weight (r_0, r_1) and a global \bar{p} which is irreducible as above when restricted to I , then one has a map:

$$\frac{c\text{-ind}(r_0, r_1)}{T} \rightarrow \bar{p} \text{ part of cohomology. Now, by Parkumar's theorem,}$$

as the weight $(p-1-r_0, p-1-r_1) \otimes w_2^{A_0+p_1}$ is NOT in the list of weights, necessarily (using Gee)

$$\text{the composed map } c\text{-ind}(r_0-1, p-2-A_0) \otimes w_2^{p(r_1+1)} \rightarrow \frac{c\text{-ind}(r_0, r_1)}{T} \rightarrow \bar{p} \text{ part is non-zero}$$

and factors through $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{K(\mathbb{F}_q)} \otimes_{\mathbb{W}_2} w_2^{\text{I}(m)}$. Repeating this game again, we find each of the 4 weights appearing. \square Then the image of $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^K()$ is an irreducible superangular.

I would like to explain now a local construction of such a common quotient based on techniques introduced by Paskunas:

A local construction of a common quotient.

$$\text{let } \sigma_1 := (r_0, r_1), \quad \sigma_2 := (r_0-1, p-2-r_1) \otimes w_2^{\text{I}(r+1)}, \quad \sigma_3 := (p-r_0, p-3-r_1) \otimes w_2^{r_0+p(r+1)} \\ \sigma_4 := (p-2-r_0, r_1+1) \otimes w_2^{r_0+p(p-1)}$$

For σ a weight, denote by $\text{Inj } \sigma$ the injective envelope of σ in the category of smooth representations of K over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. It is of infinite dimension and is unique up to non-unique isomorphism, and its K -socle is σ . Making p act trivially, let V be the following representation of $K\mathbb{Z}$:

$$V := \text{Inj } \sigma_1 \oplus \text{Inj } \sigma_2 \oplus \text{Inj } \sigma_3 \oplus \text{Inj } \sigma_4.$$

Let χ_i be the character by which I acts on $\sigma_i^{\text{I}(1)} = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} v_i$ where $I(1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in K, \ a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \ d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \ c \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \right\}$ and $I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in K, \ c \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \right\}$,
 pro- p Iwahori Iwahori

We have the following lemma of group theory:

Lemma 1: There is a (non-canonical) I -equivariant isomorphism:

$$\left| \text{Inj } \sigma \right|_I \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\chi \text{ char of } I \text{ st.} \\ \sigma \text{ is a subquotient} \\ \text{of } \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p)} X}} X$$

$\text{Inj } \chi$
 = injective envelope in the category
 of smooth representations of $I / \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

(6)

If χ is a character of I , say $\chi\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \chi_1(a)\chi_2(d)$, let χ^* be the character $\chi^*\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \chi_2(a)\chi_1(d)$. Using Lemma 1, one can see that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inj } \sigma_1|_I &\simeq \text{Inj } X_1 \oplus \text{Inj } X_4^* \oplus \text{Inj } X_4 \oplus \text{Inj } X_1^* \\ \text{Inj } \sigma_2|_I &\simeq \text{Inj } X_2 \oplus \text{Inj } X_1^* \oplus \text{Inj } X_1 \oplus \text{Inj } X_2^* \\ \text{Inj } \sigma_3|_I &\simeq \text{Inj } X_3 \oplus \text{Inj } X_2^* \oplus \text{Inj } X_2 \oplus \text{Inj } X_3^* \\ \text{Inj } \sigma_4|_I &\simeq \text{Inj } X_4 \oplus \text{Inj } X_3^* \oplus \text{Inj } X_3 \oplus \text{Inj } X_4^* \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can make a partition of these injective envelopes as follows (see above)

Lemma 2 (Parshules): Let N be the normalizer of I inside G . Then
 non-uniquely
 we can extend the action of I on $\text{Inj } \chi \oplus \text{Inj } \chi^*$
 to an action of N such that $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ act trivially
 and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ exchanges $\text{Inj } \chi$ and $\text{Inj } \chi^*$.

proof: Let $\Pi := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(\text{Inj } \chi)^\Pi$ the representation $\text{Inj } \chi$ but
 with the I -action twisted by $g \cdot v := \Pi g \Pi^{-1} \cdot v$. Then,
 as $\chi^\Pi \simeq \chi^*$, we have $\sigma \rightarrow \chi^* \hookrightarrow (\text{Inj } \chi)^\Pi$. Using the
 fact that $\text{Hom}_I(V, (\text{Inj } \chi)^\Pi) = \text{Hom}_I(V^\Pi, \text{Inj } \chi)$ and that
 $\text{Inj } \chi$ is injective, one easily gets that $(\text{Inj } \chi)^\Pi$ is also injective.
 By unicity of the injective envelope of χ^* , there is an I -equivariant isomorphism $\Phi: (\text{Inj } \chi)^\Pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Inj } \chi^*$ and we define the
 action of Π (non-canonical) as: $\Pi(v_x \otimes v_y) := \Phi'(v_x) \otimes \Phi(v_y)$. \square

Let W be the following representation of N :

$$W := \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{pairs } X, X' \\ \text{above}}} (\mathrm{Inj} X \oplus \mathrm{Inj} X') \quad (\text{the action of } N \text{ here is non-canonical})$$

Fix an $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -equivariant isomorphism $\phi: V \xrightarrow{\sim} W$.

Lemma 3 (Pashkova): There is a unique ^{smooth} G -representation Ω such that

$$\Omega|_{K_\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} V, \quad \Omega|_N \xrightarrow{\sim} W \quad \text{and the diagram:}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega & \xrightarrow{\sim} & V \\ \text{Id} \parallel & & s \downarrow \phi \\ \Omega & \xrightarrow{\sim} & W \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

Unicity is coming from the Iwahori decomposition $G = I \langle \sigma, \pi \rangle I$

where $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The proof of existence uses coefficient systems on the tree of PGL_2 .

One has $\mathrm{soc}(\Omega|_K) = \mathrm{soc}(V|_K) = \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \oplus \tau_3 \oplus \tau_4$

(as $\mathrm{soc}(\mathrm{Inj} \sigma_i) = \tau_i$). Let π be the G -subrepresentation of Ω generated by $\mathrm{soc}(\Omega|_K)$.

Thm: π is irreducible admissible supercuspidal and is a common quotient of $\frac{c \cdot \mathrm{ind} \tau_i}{T}$.

proof: • admissibility: one has $\pi^{I(1)} \subset \Omega^{I(1)} = \bigoplus_{(X, X')} (\mathrm{Inj} X)^{I(X)} \oplus (\mathrm{Inj} X')^{I(X')}$

using the general lemma that

$$= \bigoplus_{(X, X')} X \oplus X'$$

if H is a profinite group and $H^{(1)} \trianglelefteq H$ a pro- p -group, then

$(\text{Inj } p)^{H^{(1)}}$ = injective envelope of p in the category of

f.d. $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -repres. of $H/H^{(1)}$ (here, p = irr. repr. of H over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, hence with $H^{(1)}$ acting trivially). When $H/H^{(1)}$ is prime to p , just get p .

So $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$ is f.d. $\Rightarrow \pi$ is admissible.

- irreducibility: let $\pi' \subseteq \pi$ be a non-zero G -subspace. Then

$0 \neq \text{Soc}(\pi'|_K) \subseteq \text{Soc}(\pi|_K) \subseteq \underbrace{\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2 \oplus \sigma_3 \oplus \sigma_4}_{\text{all distinct}}$. Say σ_3 is in

$\pi'|_K$, then $\pi(\sigma_3^{I^{(1)}}) \in \pi'$, but $\pi(\sigma_3^{I^{(1)}}) \in \text{Inj } \sigma_4$ (see the previous pairings), hence σ_4 is contained in the K -sub-representation of $\text{Inj } \sigma_4$ generated by $\pi(\sigma_3^{I^{(1)}})$ (as $\text{Inj } \sigma_4$ is an essential extension of σ_4), hence $\sigma_4 \subseteq \pi'|_K$ and we can look at $\pi(\sigma_4^{I^{(1)}})$ and play the same game again. We get that all σ_i are in $\pi'|_K \Rightarrow \pi' = \pi$.

• supercuspidal: let v_i be a $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -basis of $\sigma_i^{I^{(1)}}$ and $w_i := \pi \cdot v_i$.

Then $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}v_i \oplus \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}w_i \subset \pi^{I^{(1)}}$ is stable under the action of

$\text{End}_G(c\text{-Ind}_{I^{(1)}}^{G_{\sigma_i}})$ and is a supercuspidal Hecke module in the

sense of Vigneras (this is a computation).

The final part follows from Barthel and Livné.

Also, $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$ contains $\oplus \sigma_i^{I^{(1)}}$, hence
 is of dim > 2 , hence
 cannot be a principal
 field (because the dimension would then be 2).

However, there seems to be several such π , ie changing the action of π in Lemma 2

(9)

really leads to several supersingular, all being irreducible quotients of
 $c\text{-ind } \sigma_i$. For instance, let us consider the last step in the previous
 (T)

proof: $\Pi v_i = w_i$, $\Pi w_i = v_i$. Change the action of Π such
that $\Pi v_i = \lambda_i w_i$, $\Pi w_i = \lambda_i^{-1} v_i$, then the representation Π you get
is different if $\Pi \lambda_i \neq 1$ (and for each value of $\Pi \lambda_i$, get a different Π).
(to be confirmed) (if everything OK)

The theorem can be generalized to a more general situation
(cycles of any cardinality etc.) but there are more and more p.
of choices. So the picture for mod. p local correspondence is
still not clear.

