

# Apr. 12<sup>th</sup> Galois Representations & $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules (2)

by Berger

- $\{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-reps of } G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\} \longleftrightarrow \{(\varphi, \Gamma)\text{-modules over } \mathbb{F}_p((x))\}$

⑤ The operator  $\psi$  and  $D^\#$

$$\varphi(\mathbb{F}_p((x))) = \mathbb{F}_p((x^p))$$

$$\mathbb{F}_p((x)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} (1+x)^i \mathbb{F}_p((x^p))$$

If  $D$  is a  $\varphi$ -module over  $\mathbb{F}_p((x))$ ,  $D = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} (1+x)^i \varphi(D)$

If  $y \in D$ ,  $\exists! y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{p-1}$  s.t.  $y = \varphi(y_0) + (1+x)\varphi(y_1) + \dots + (1+x)^{p-1}\varphi(y_{p-1})$

Define  $\psi$  on  $D$  by  $\psi y = y_0$

Example: if  $D = \mathbb{F}_p((x))$

$$\psi\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i (-x)^i\right) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i (1-x)^{\lfloor \frac{i}{p} \rfloor}$$

Prop. (1) If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p((x))$ ,  $y \in D$ , then  $\psi(\varphi(\lambda)y) = \lambda\psi(y)$

(2) If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $\psi \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ \psi$

One can also define  $\psi$  in char 0, and then (1) & (2) hold and

$$\psi(f)((1+x)^p - 1) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\eta^{p-1}} f((1+x)^p \eta - 1)$$

$\psi$  decreases the denominators.

Prop. If  $D$  is a  $\varphi$ -module over  $\mathbb{F}_p((x))$ , then  $\exists!$   $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$ -module  $D^\# \subseteq D$  such that (1)  $D^\#$  is a lattice in  $D$

(2) If  $y \in D$ ,  $\exists m(y)$  s.t.  $\psi^{m(y)}(y) \in D^\#$

(3)  $\psi: D^\# \rightarrow D^\#$  is surjective

For example, let  $D = \mathbb{F}_p((X))$ .

$$\text{If } j \geq 1, \psi(X^{(j+1)} \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]) \subset X^{-j} \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$$

$$\& \psi(X^{(j)} \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]) \supset X^{j+1} \mathbb{F}_p[[X]] \\ \Rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_p[[X]])^\# = X^{-1} \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$$

- In char 0, there's an analogous result with

(1)  $\forall n \geq 0 | D^\# / p^n$  is a lattice in  $D / p^n$

(2)  $\forall n \geq 1 \forall y \in D, \exists m(y, n) \psi^{m(y, n)}(y) \in p^n D + D^\#$

(3') unchanged

⑥ Representations of  $B = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \subset G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

- If  $D$  is a  $(\phi, \tau)$ -module over  $\mathbb{F}_p((X))$

- $(\varprojlim_{\psi} D)^G = \{(y_0, y_1, \dots), \text{ bounded for the } X\text{-adic topology} \mid \psi(y_{i+1}) = y_i \quad \forall i \geq 0\}$

If  $y, \exists n \geq 0$  s.t.  $y_i \in X^{-n} D^\# \text{ for } \forall i \geq 0$

- $\exists m > 0$  s.t.  $\psi^m(X^{-n} D^\#) \subset D^\#$

$$y_i = \psi^m(y_{i+m}) \Rightarrow y_i \in D^\# \quad \forall i \geq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow (\varprojlim_{\psi} D)^G \leftarrow \varprojlim_{\psi} D^\#$$

- Choose a character  $\eta$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  and define an action of  $B$  on  $\varprojlim_{\psi} D^\#$

If  $y \in \varprojlim_{\psi} D^\#$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a \end{pmatrix} y = \eta^{-1}(a) y, \quad \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & p^{-j} \end{pmatrix} y \right]_i = \psi^{-j}(\eta)(y_i) = y_{i-j}$$

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & a \end{pmatrix} y \right]_i = \gamma(y_i) \text{ where } \gamma(a) = a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$$

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y \right]_i = (1+x)^{p^iz} y_i \quad , \quad z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

This makes  $\varprojlim D^\#$  into a compact rep of  $B$

Theorem (1) If  $V$  is a 2-dim mod  $p$  rep of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , if  $\pi(V)$  is the rep of  $G$  associated to  $V$  by the mod  $p$  Langlands correspondence "Colmez Isom" then  $\pi(V) \underset{B}{\sim} (\varprojlim D^\#(V))^*$   $\leftarrow$  their semisimplifications are equal (Colmez)

B-B) (2) If  $V$  is a 2-dim trianguline rep of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , and if  $B(V)$  is the rep  $\underset{\text{of } G}{\text{assoc. to }} V$  by the  $p$ -adic LLC, then,  $B(V) \underset{B}{\sim} (\varprojlim D^\#(V))^*$

### ⑦ Characters & parabolic inductions

$\omega$  = mod  $p$  cyclotomic char.

$\Gamma_\lambda$  = unramified char.  $\text{Frob}_p \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$

$V = \omega^r \Gamma_\lambda$

$$D(V) = \mathbb{F}_p((X)) e. \quad \varphi e = \lambda e \\ \gamma e = \omega^r(\gamma) e$$

$$D^\#(V) = X^{-1} \mathbb{F}_p[[X]] e$$

$$\varprojlim X^{-1} \mathbb{F}_p[[X]] e \supseteq \varprojlim \mathbb{F}_p[[X]] e \triangleleft$$

- irred. sub representation

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{D}_\eta(V) = \left( \varprojlim \mathbb{F}_p[[X]] e \right)^*$$

Then, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \eta(\omega^{1-r}\mu_{\lambda} \otimes \omega^{r-1}\mu_{\lambda}) \rightarrow (\varprojlim D^{\#}(V))^* \rightarrow \Omega_{\eta}(V) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} X_1 \otimes X_2 : B \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^* \\ (a \ b) \mapsto X_1(a) X_2(b) \end{array} \right)$$

• What is  $\Omega_{\eta}(V)$ ?

Consider  $\text{Ind}_B^G(X_1 \otimes X_2)$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^G(X_1 \otimes X_2) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^G(X_1 \otimes X_2) \rightarrow X_1 \otimes X_2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\sigma \longmapsto \sigma(\text{Id})$$

Theorem If  $V = \omega^r \mu_{\lambda}$ ,  $\Omega_{\eta}(V) \approx \text{Ind}_B^G(\omega^r \mu_{\lambda} \otimes \eta \omega^r \mu_{\lambda})$ .

Proof: Step A  $\text{LC}_0(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) = \{f: \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p, \text{loc. const., compactly supp.}\}$   
 $\sigma \in \text{Ind}_B^G(X_1 \otimes X_2) \rightsquigarrow f_{\sigma}(z) = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & z \end{pmatrix}$

Since  $G = B \amalg B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & * \end{pmatrix}$

$\sigma \mapsto f_{\sigma}$  is a ~~bijection~~ bijection.

$$\text{We have } f_{(ab)}(z) = X_1(d) X_2(a) f_0\left(\frac{dz-b}{a}\right) \quad (2)$$

Step B: The Amice transform

$D$  measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  i.e.  $\mu: \text{LC}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$

$A(\mu) \in \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$

$$A(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(z \mapsto \binom{z}{n}) \cdot X^n = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1+x)^z d\mu.$$

$\{z \mapsto \binom{z}{n}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a basis of  $\text{LC}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$

$\Rightarrow \mu \mapsto A(\mu)$  is bijection

Use this to let  $\Gamma, \mathcal{U}, \dots$  act on the space of measures

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(z) d(\gamma \mu) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(\chi(\gamma)z) d\mu \quad (3)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(z) d(\psi \mu) = \int_{p\mathbb{Z}_p} f(p^{-1}z) d\mu \quad (4)$$

Step C. If  $y \in \varprojlim_{\psi} \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$ ,  $y = (f_i(x) \cdot e)_{i \geq 0}$

$$\psi(\lambda^{-i} f_i(x)) = \lambda^{-(i-1)} f_{i-1}(x)$$

Define a measure  $\mu_{y,i}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  by

$$A(\mu_{y,i}) = \lambda^{-i} f_i(x)$$

→ Can glue them to define a measure  $\mu_y$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$   
if  $f \in LC_0(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$  has support in  $p^{-i}\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,

then  $\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f d\mu_y = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(p^{-i}z) d\mu_{y,i}$  does not depend  
on  $i$  by (4)

$$\Rightarrow (\varprojlim_{\psi} \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]e)^* \cong (LC_0(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{F}_p))^*$$

Step D. Finally  $g \in B$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{B}}^G(\mu_{\lambda} \omega^r \otimes \eta \mu_{\lambda^{-1}} \omega^{-r})_0$

$$y \in (\varprojlim_{\psi} \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]e)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f_{g\sigma} d\mu_{gy} = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f d\mu_y \quad \square$$

⑧ The mod  $p$  Langlands correspondence

$$V = \mu_{\lambda} \omega^{r+1} \oplus \mu_{\lambda^{-1}} \quad (\text{by twisting}) \quad (1)$$

central character  $\eta = \omega^r$

$$[\varprojlim_{\psi} D^{\#}(\mu_{\lambda} \omega^{r+1} \oplus \mu_{\lambda^{-1}})]^* \sim \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{B}}^G(\mu_{\lambda} \omega^{r+1} \otimes \mu_{\lambda^{-1}} \omega^{-r})_0 \oplus (\mu_{\lambda^{-1}} \otimes \mu_{\lambda} \omega^r)$$

$$\oplus \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{B}}^G(\mu_{\lambda^{-1}} \otimes \mu_{\lambda} \omega^r)_0 \oplus (\mu_{\lambda} \omega^r \otimes \mu_{\lambda^{-1}} \omega^{-r})_0$$